

Riskihindamise valdkonna juhendmaterjalid

Viimati uuendatud: veebruar, 2022

Terminoloogia

Andmete kogumine, arvamuste koostamine ja läbivaatus

Läbipaistvus

Määramatuse hindamine

Muud valdkondade ülesed juhendid

Toidu ja sööda ohutus

Uued tehnoloogiad (bio- ja nanotehnoloogia, GMO, uuendtoit)

Riskikommunikatsioon

Terminoloogia

EFSA Glossary <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/glossary-taxonomy-terms>

Scientific Opinion on Risk Assessment Terminology. *EFSA Journal* 2012; 10 (5):2664, 43 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2664>

WHO/IPCS Risk Assessment Terminology. Part 1: IPCS/OECD Key Generic Terms Used in Chemical Hazard/Risk Assessment. Part 2: IPCS Glossary of Key Exposure Assessment Terminology. International Programme on Chemical Safety, 2004
<http://www.inchem.org/documents/harmproj/harmproj/harmproj1.pdf>

Andmete kogumine, arvamuste koostamine ja läbivaatus

Draft framework for protocol development for EFSA's scientific assessments. *EFSA supporting publication* 2020: 17(4): EN-1843. 46 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2020.EN-1843>

Scientific report on Principles and process for dealing with data and evidence in scientific assessments. *EFSA Journal* 2015; 13(5):4121, 35 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2015.4121>

Guidance on Statistical Reporting. *EFSA Journal* 2014; 12(12):3908, 18 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3908>

Guidance on Expert Knowledge Elicitation in Food and Feed Safety Risk Assessment. *EFSA Journal* 2014; 12(6):3734. [278 pp.] <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3734>

Application of systematic review methodology to food and feed safety assessments to support decision making. *EFSA Journal* 2010; 8(6):1637. 90 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1637>

Läbipaistvus

Regulation (EU) 2019/1381 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on the transparency and sustainability of the EU risk assessment in the food chain
<http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2019/1381/oj>

Guidance of the Scientific Committee on transparency in the scientific aspects of risk assessment carried out by EFSA. Part 2: general principles, EFSA Journal (2009) 1051, 1-22.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1051>

Määramatuse hindamine

Guidelines for Uncertainty Analysis: Application of the respective documents of EFSA and BfR for exposure assessments. EFSA supporting publication 2018: EN-1472. 113 pp.
<https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.2903/sp.efsa.2018.EN-1472>

Guidance on Uncertainty Analysis in Scientific Assessments. EFSA Journal 2018;16(1):5123, 39 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5123>

Scientific Opinion on the principles and methods behind EFSA's Guidance on Uncertainty Analysis in Scientific Assessment. EFSA Journal 2018;16(1):5122, 235 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5122>

Muud valdkondade ülesed juhendid

Draft for internal testing Scientific Committee guidance on appraising and integrating evidence from epidemiological studies for use in EFSA's scientific assessments. EFSA Journal 2020;18(8):6221, 83 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6221>

Guidance on harmonised methodologies for human health, animal health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals. EFSA Journal 2019;17(3):5634, 77 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5634>

Scientific Opinion on the guidance on the use of the weight of evidence approach in scientific assessments. EFSA Journal 2017;15(8):4971, 69 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4971>

Guidance on the assessment of the biological relevance of data in scientific assessments. EFSA Journal 2017;15(8):4970, 73 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4970>

Overview of existing methodologies for the estimation of non-dietary exposure to chemicals from the use of consumer products and via the environment. EFSA Journal 2016;14(7):4525, 19 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4525>

Guidance on selected default values to be used by the EFSA Scientific Committee, Scientific Panels and Units in the absence of actual measured data. EFSA Journal 2012; 10(3):2579, 32 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2579>

Statistical Significance and Biological Relevance. EFSA Journal 2011; 9(9):2372,17 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2372>

Opinion of the Scientific Committee on a request from EFSA related to A Harmonised Approach for Risk Assessment of Substances Which are both Genotoxic and Carcinogenic. EFSA Journal 2005; 3(10):282, 33 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2005.282>

Loomatervis

Technical guidelines on rapid risk assessment for animal health threats. FAO Animal Production and Health Guidelines No. 24, FAO 2021 <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb3187en>

Terrestrial Animal Health Code Chapter 2.1 6.11. Risk analysis for antimicrobial resistance arising from the use of antimicrobial agents in animals, OIE 2019.
https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahc/current/chapitre_antibio_risk_ass.pdf

Human Animal Infections and Risk Surveillance (HAIRS) group. Processes of risk assessment. Public Health England. 2018.
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/761106/HAIRS_risk_assessment_processes.pdf

Terrestrial Animal Health Code Chapter 2.1 Import Risk Analyses, OIE 2018
https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahc/2018/en_chapitre_import_risk_analysis.htm

Scientific opinion on an ad hoc method for the assessment on listing and categorisation of animal diseases within the framework of the Animal Health Law. EFSA Journal 2017; 15(7):4783, 42 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4783>

Handbook on import risk analyses for animals and animal products. Introduction and qualitative risk analyses. 2nd edition. OIE, 2010. https://rr-africa.oie.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/handbook_on_import_risk_analysis_-_oie_-_vol__i.pdf

Guidance on Good Practice in Conducting Scientific Assessments in Animal Health using Modelling. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12):1419. 38 pp.
<https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1419>

Phylum. Listing and Categorisation of Priority Animal Diseases, including those Transmissible to Humans. Report for OIE. 2009. https://ec.europa.eu/food/system/files/2016-10/ah_policy_strategy_study_oie_2010_pt2.pdf

Toidu ja sööda ohutus

Technical report on handling occurrence data for dietary exposure assessments. EFSA supporting publication 2021:EN-7082. 28pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2021.EN-7082>

Guidance Document on Scientific criteria for grouping chemicals into assessment groups for human risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals. EFSA Journal 2021;19(12):7033, 37 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.7033>

Microbiological risk assessment - Guidance for food. Microbiological Risk Assessment Series No. 36. FAO and WHO, 2021 <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb5006en>

Guidelines for rapid risk analysis following instances of detection of contaminants in food where there is no regulatory level. CXG 92-2019 http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/sh-proxy/en/?lnk=1&url=https%253A%252F%252Fworkspace.fao.org%252Fsites%252Fcodex%252FStandards%252FCXG%2B92-2019%252FCXG_092e.pdf

Scientific Opinion on a Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) approach for the safety assessment of botanicals and botanical preparations. EFSA Journal 2014; 12(3):3593, 38 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3593>

Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Assessment. CAC/GL 30-2014 PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES FOR THE CONDUCT OF MICROBIOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT (fao.org)

Guidelines on the Application of Risk Assessment for Feed. CAC-GL 80-2013 www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/sh-proxy/it/?lnk=1&url=https%253A%252F%252Fworkspace.fao.org%252Fsites%252Fcodex%252FStandards%252FCXG%2B80-2013%252FCXG_080e.pdf

Scientific Opinion on the applicability of the Margin of Exposure approach for the safety assessment of impurities which are both genotoxic and carcinogenic in substances added to food/feed. EFSA Journal 2012; 10(3):2578, 5 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2578>

Overview of the procedures currently used at EFSA for the assessment of dietary exposure to different chemical substances EFSA Journal 2011; 9(12):2490, 33 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2490>

Use of the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database in Exposure Assessment. EFSA Journal 2011; 9(3):2097, 34 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2097>

Guidelines for Risk Analysis of Foodborne Antimicrobial Resistance. CAC/GL 77-2011 GUIDELINES FOR RISK ANALYSIS OF FOODBORNE ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE (fao.org)

FAO/WHO guide for application of risk analysis principles and procedures during food safety emergencies. 2011, <http://www.fao.org/3/ba0092e/ba0092e00.pdf>

Guidance on human health risk-benefit assessment of food. EFSA Journal 2010; 8(7)1673, 41 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1673>

Principles and Methods for the RA of chemicals in food; WHO, Environmental health criteria 240, 2009 [Principles and methods for the risk assessment of chemicals in food \(who.int\)](http://www.who.int)

EFSA Scientific Committee; Guidance on safety assessment of botanicals and botanical preparations intended for use as ingredients in food supplements, on request of EFSA. *EFSA Journal* 2009; 7 (9):1249. 19 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1249>

FAO/WHO. Risk Characterization of Microbiological Hazards in Food: Guidelines. Microbiological Risk assessment. 2009. Series No 17. Rome 116 pp. <http://www.fao.org/3/i1134e/i1134e.pdf>

Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) approach for assessment of selected microorganisms referred to EFSA. The EFSA Journal (2007) 587, 1–16 <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2007.587>

Uued tehnoloogiad (bio- ja nanotehnoloogia, GMO, uuendtoit)

Guidance on risk assessment of nanomaterials to be applied in the food and feed chain: human and animal health. EFSA Journal 2021;19(8):6768, 111 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6768>

Guidance on risk assessment of the application of nanoscience and nanotechnologies in the food and feed chain: Part 1, human and animal health. EFSA Journal 2018;16(7):5327, 95 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5327>

Scientific Opinion on guidance for the risk assessment of the presence at low level of genetically modified plant material in imported food and feed under Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003. EFSA Journal 2017;15(11):5048, 19 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5048>

Scientific Opinion on Guidance on the risk assessment of the application of nanoscience and nanotechnologies in the food and feed chain. EFSA Journal 2011; 9(5):2140, 36 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2140>

Codex Alimentarius biotehnoloogiaid puudutavad juhendid <http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/thematic-areas/biotechnology/en/>

Riskikommunikatsioon

Scientific report on technical assistance in the field of risk communication. EFSA Journal 2021;19(4):6574, 113 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6574>

Future directions for risk communications at EFSA. EFSA Journal 2021;19(2):e190201, 6 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.e190201>

When Food Is Cooking Up a Storm – Proven Recipes for Risk Communications 2017, EFSA https://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/corporate_publications/files/riskcommguidelines170524.pdf

Guidance on Communication of Uncertainty in Scientific Assessments. EFSA Journal 2019;17(1):5520, 73 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5520>

Täiendavaid riskihindamise valdkonna juhendeid leiab:

[Guidance and other assessment methodology documents | EFSA \(europa.eu\)](#)

[Scientific advice | Food safety and quality | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations \(fao.org\)](#)

[Publications - OIE - World Organisation for Animal Health](#)